DMTI
Math Success

Developing Mathematical Thinking:
Fraction Understanding

RESEARCH OVERVIEW

BY

Jonathan Brendefur, PhD

and Associates

DEVELOPING MATHEMATICAL THINKING INSTITUTE

JONATHAN BRENDEFUR, PHD
AND COLLEAGUES

FRACTION UNDERSTANDING: CHALLENGES, MISCONCEPTIONS, AND EFFECTIVE PRACTICES

(RESEARCH OVERVIEW)

Introduction

Fractions are a cornerstone of mathematics education, essential for developing robust number sense and laying a solid foundation for algebra and more advanced mathematical pursuits. Despite their significance, fractions present persistent and considerable challenges for numerous learners. This research overview synthesizes key insights from the literature, focusing on the prevalent misconceptions, specific difficulties students encounter, and evidence-based instructional practices promoting a deeper, more conceptual grasp of fractions. This overview aims to equip educators with the knowledge and strategies necessary to foster student success in this critical area by examining the cognitive obstacles and exploring effective teaching approaches. Traditional instruction in fractions often falls short of promoting meaningful understanding, frequently emphasizing procedures and algorithms at the expense of conceptual development (Lamon, 2001).

Understanding the Complexities

Developing a robust understanding of fractions is far from straightforward. Students encounter a variety of conceptual hurdles that can hinder their progress. Research identifies several overarching conceptual challenges that contribute significantly to these difficulties, each stemming from misunderstandings about the nature of fractions and their relationship to other mathematical concepts.

Core Conceptual Challenges

One of the most fundamental challenges is *conceptualizing fractions as numbers with magnitude* and understanding their position on the number line (Simon et al., 2018). Many students struggle to see fractions as more than parts of a whole, failing to grasp that they represent quantities that can be ordered, compared, and operated on, much like whole numbers. This requires understanding that fractions have a specific location and value on the number line, just as whole numbers do.

A common misconception involves *applying whole number rules inappropriately to fractions*. For instance, students may believe that a fraction with a larger denominator is always larger or that adding numerators and denominators is the correct way to add fractions. This stems from the tendency to apply additive thinking, appropriate for whole numbers, to multiplicative situations involving fractions.

Grasping *fraction equivalence*—that different fractions can represent the same quantity (Simon et al., 2018)—is a significant hurdle. It requires recognizing that a fraction can be partitioned into smaller, equivalent units and that multiplying or dividing the numerator and denominator by the same non-zero number results in an equivalent fraction.

Performing *arithmetic operations with fractions*, notably addition and subtraction with unlike denominators, presents challenges due to a lack of understanding of the roles of numerators and denominators and the necessity of common units (denominators). This requires understanding the concept of common denominators, emphasizing that these represent the same-sized units.

Specific Difficulties

Beyond the broad conceptual challenges, students often grapple with more specific difficulties that stem from limited or flawed understandings:

Many students view fractions as deriving from fractions solely as parts of a whole divided into n equal pieces (n/n). This can hinder their ability to conceptualize improper fractions, as having more parts than the "whole" seems illogical (Simon et al., 2018; Stafylidou & Vosniadou, 2004). This limited view restricts their understanding of fractions to only those less than one, making it challenging to work with mixed numbers and other more complex fraction concepts.

Some students conceive of fractions (m/n, where m<n) solely as an arrangement where a whole is divided into n identical parts, and m parts are designated. They do not understand 1/n or m/n as a quantity, measure, or amount. Based on this limited notion, 1/n and m/n have no meaning when not included as parts in a whole partitioned into n identical parts (Behr, Harel, Post, & Lesh, 1992; Mitchell & Clarke, 2004; Simon, 2006; Simon et al., 2018).

Students often struggle with the concept of a *referent unit*, understanding a fraction only as a part of the presented totality. The difficulty arises when the referent unit is greater or less than that totality (Simon et al., 2018; Tzur, 1999). Understanding of referent units is generally not emphasized in the development of whole numbers; when whole number development is based on counting, the unit is generally left implicit. This also includes understanding that fractions can represent the same quantity or relationships (ratios) depending on the context and the considered unit.

Effective Instructional Strategies and Representations

Instruction must focus on conceptual development, utilize varied representations, and employ precise language to address the challenges and promote deep understanding.

Building Conceptual Understanding

Traditional "part-whole" language can be limiting. Brendefur and Strother propose using "count" for the numerator to emphasize that it counts the number of equivalent units of a given unit fraction. Moreover, use "unit size" for the denominator to define the size of each unit. Using "1" instead of "whole" reinforces that a fraction’s unit size is determined by the number of equal partitions between any whole numbers or, more precisely, between 0 and 1. For example, partitioning the unit of 1 into 4 equal units would be called "fourths." This precise language helps students conceptualize fractions as measurements of a unit rather than parts of a whole and helps students understand fractions greater than one.

Instruction should promote semantic analyses of written symbols, connecting them with real-world referents (Wearne & Hiebert, 1988). This involves gradually building rich symbolic meanings through connections with appropriate referents, eliminating dependence on rote memorization. Establishing connections between numeric and operational symbols with familiar referents is essential. Note that it is important to use real-world examples that are not circles when introducing fractions. Start with 1-dimensional examples (e.g., ribbon or distance) before moving to 2-dimensional ones. Students can develop a stronger conceptual understanding of fractions by progressing from one-dimensional to two-dimensional examples before encountering more complex circular representations.

Utilizing Multiple Representations

Research highlights the importance of using multiple representations to help students comprehensively understand fractions (Watanabe, 2002). These representations should be explicitly linked to show their connections and move from enactive to iconic and, then, symbolic (Bruner, 1964).

Enactive (Concrete) representations involve hands-on experiences with physical objects. Examples include using fraction bars, pattern blocks, or Cuisenaire rods to represent fractions and perform operations physically. Enactive representations are crucial for initially grounding fraction concepts in concrete experiences, allowing students to manipulate and visualize fractions directly. The connection from action to thought helps students develop a deeper understanding of fraction concepts.

Iconic (Visual) representations involve models that represent fractions, such as number lines and bar models initially, followed by area models. These representations help students transition from enactive experiences to visual support for fraction concepts. Number lines and bar models are particularly effective for illustrating relationships, comparing magnitudes, and building a conceptual understanding of fractions. However, children's understanding of two-dimensional figures and their area measurements significantly affects their reasoning with area models of fractions. If this understanding is still developing, the area model may be inappropriate for discussing fractions (Watanabe, 2002).

Symbolic (Abstract) representations involve using mathematical symbols and notation to represent fractions, such as 1/2, 3/4, etc. They are the most abstract form of representation and require students to understand the underlying concepts and relationships represented by the symbols. Instruction should explicitly connect symbolic representations to iconic representations to ensure that students understand the meaning behind the symbols.

Importance of Structural Language

Using precise structural language is essential for helping students develop a clear and flexible understanding of fractions. Words such as unit, partition, iterate, compose, decompose, and equivalence provide a foundation for conceptualizing fractions and their relationships.

Partitioning a unit of 1 into equal-sized *units* is fundamental to understanding fractions and what the denominator means. *Iterating* means copying a unit with no gaps and overlaps. For example, the fraction 5/4 means that from 0 to 1 (or within each whole number) is partitioned into four equal units called "fourths." Each one-fourth unit is then iterated five times to create a precise location on a number line. This approach allows students to see fractions as measurable quantities, reinforcing their understanding of fractions as numbers and the numerator as the count of these iterated units.

Composing and *decomposing* units is a crucial skill in understanding and manipulating fractions. It involves combining or breaking apart fractions of similar or different sizes. This skill forms the foundation for adding and subtracting fractions with both like and unlike denominators. For instance, when solving ¾ + ½, a student might decompose ¾ into ¼ + ½. Then, they can compose the two ½ fractions to form 1, resulting in 1¼. This process demonstrates the importance of creating *equivalent* fractions with the same unit (denominator) to facilitate addition and subtraction. By decomposing and recomposing fractions, students develop a deeper understanding of fraction equivalence and the flexibility to work with fractions in various forms.

Creating Effective Fraction Instruction

Effective fraction instruction requires a multi-faceted approach, prioritizing conceptual understanding and procedural fluency. A key focus should be developing fraction magnitude and sense by encouraging students to estimate, judge the reasonableness of answers and build intuition about fraction operations. Activities such as comparing and ordering fractions, estimating their size, and relating them to benchmarks like 0, 1/2, and 1 on a number line are essential for a deeper understanding of fractions as measurable quantities.

Teachers should also explicitly address common misconceptions, such as treating fractions as separate whole numbers, by designing activities that challenge these misunderstandings directly. Providing opportunities for students to explore fractions through hands-on activities and real-world problems further enhances learning by making abstract concepts more concrete and meaningful. By combining these strategies, educators can create a comprehensive instructional approach that supports students in developing a flexible and confident understanding of fractions.

Conclusion

Fostering a robust understanding of fractions demands a comprehensive and deliberate approach. Educators must move beyond rote memorization and emphasize underlying concepts, varied interpretations, and diverse representations of fractions. Key considerations for instruction include awareness of part-whole versus comparison methods for representing fractions, careful development of partitioning concepts, and sequential instruction that develops symbol meanings before practicing syntactic routines (Watanabe, 2002; Wearne & Hiebert, 1988). By attending to common misconceptions, utilizing precise language, and grounding instruction in meaningful contexts, educators can empower students to develop a flexible and confident understanding of fractions. This approach addresses the immediate challenges of fraction comprehension and sets students up for success in future mathematical endeavors, providing a solid foundation for more advanced mathematical concepts.

References

Behr, M. J., Harel, G., Post, T., & Lesh, R. (1992). Rational number, ratio, and proportion. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.). Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning (pp. 296–333). New York: Macmillan.

Brendefur, J. & Strother, S. (n.d.). The effect of math vocabulary instruction on student achievement. Developing Mathematical Thinking Institute. www.dmtinstitute.com.

Bruner, J. S. (1964). Toward a theory of instruction. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Lamon, S. J. (2001). Presenting and representing: From fractions to rational numbers. In A. A. Cuoco, & F. R. Curcio (Eds.), The roles of representation in school mathematics (pp. 146–165). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.

Mitchell, A., & Clarke, D. M. (2004). When is three quarters not three quarters? Listening for conceptual understanding in children's explanations in a fractions interview. In I. Putt, R. Farragher, & M. McLean (Eds.). Mathematics education for the third millennium: Towards 2010 (Proceedings of the 27th Annual Conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia (pp. 367–373).

Simon, M. A. (2006). Key developmental understandings in mathematics: A direction for investigating and establishing learning goals. Mathematical Thinking and Learning, 8(4), 359–371.

Simon, M. A., Placa, N., Avitzur, A., & Kara, M. (2018). Promoting a concept of fraction-as-measure: A study of the Learning Through Activity research program. The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 51, 11-30.

Stafylidou, S., & Vosniadou, S. (2004). The development of students' understanding of the numerical value of fractions. Learning and Instruction, 14(5), 503-518.

Tzur, R. (1999). An integrated study of children's construction of improper fractions and the teacher's role in promoting that learning. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 30(4), 390–416.

Watanabe, T. (2002). Representations in Teaching and Learning Fractions. Teaching Children Mathematics, 8(8), 457-463.

Wearne, D., & Hiebert, J. (1988). A Cognitive Approach to Meaningful Mathematics Instruction: Testing a Local Theory Using Decimal Numbers. Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 19(5), 371-384.

Social Media

Research highlights the importance of using **visual representations** and **precise language** to develop students' conceptual understanding of fractions. Tools like **number lines** and **bar models** have proven especially effective for illustrating fraction relationships, comparing magnitudes, and supporting problem-solving across various fraction contexts. These representations help students see fractions as measurable quantities, bridging the gap between iconic representations and symbolic notation.

Moreover, research suggests that **precise language**—such as "count," "unit size," "partition," and "iterate"—is essential for fostering a deeper understanding of fractions. Moving beyond traditional part-whole descriptions, this structural language emphasizes fraction equivalence and flexibility in reasoning. By combining visual tools with clear language, educators can help students build a strong foundation in fractions, setting them up for success in advanced mathematics and real-world applications.

Join us in exploring these powerful strategies and their impact on mathematical thinking!

#ElementaryMath #FractionUnderstanding #Fractions #STEM #MathematicalThinking #TeachingMath #DMTI #DevelopingMathematicalThinkingInstitute #MathSuccess #NumberLine #BarModel #JonathanBrendefur

DMTI Math Success

For more information

contact@dmtinstitute.com

www.mathsuccess.io

Developing Mathematical Thinking Institute, Inc.
500 South 8th Street
Boise, Idaho 83702

dmtinstitute

dmt-institute

dmtinstitute

dmtinstitute