

DMT INSIGHTS

Issue 51 · 2026 · by Jonathan Brendefur, PhD and Associates



PLACE VALUE: THE UNDERSTANDINGS THAT PREDICT LATER MATH SUCCESS

Introduction: Place Value as Units and Magnitude

By third grade, most students can name the places in a multi-digit number. They can point to the tens place in 347 and the hundreds place in 5,026. Naming positions is not the same as understanding them, and the gap becomes consequential in Grades 3 to 6, where the mathematics begins to demand that students reason about the quantities the digits represent (Fuson, 1990). In 526, the 5 is not five but five units of a hundred, the 2 is two units of ten, and 526 names one quantity rather than three separate digits. Coordinating those ideas is a developmental achievement that takes years to build (Ross, 1989), and it emerges gradually out of partial, approximate knowledge rather than arriving whole (Mix et al., 2022). This overview treats place value as two larger ideas, *units* and *magnitude*, and the Place Value Math Learning Profile makes them diagnostically visible through four connected constructs: unitizing, composing and decomposing; magnitude and number-line reasoning; position and value; and the $\times 10$ structure and equivalence of the base-ten system. It frames each construct for formative assessment: what the understanding is, why it predicts later achievement, what reveals it, and how it grows across the grades. The aim is to give teachers a way to diagnose place-value reasoning rather than confirm that students can label positions.

Place value is one construct among several that develop alongside one another, and this insight stays within its own scope. How students build a quantity as a length, choose a unit, and iterate it belongs to Building the Number Line. How students reason multiplicatively about relative size belongs to Scaling and Comparing. How students partition a unit of one and name the resulting units belongs to Fraction Concepts. What belongs here is the composition of base-ten units and the magnitude of the numbers those units build.

Key Idea • Place value is the ability to reason about the units a digit represents and the magnitude of the number, two understandings that go well beyond naming positions.

Why Place Value Predicts: The Reasoning the Later Grades Keep Drawing On

Place-value understanding is not a topic completed in the primary grades. It is a way of reasoning that the mathematics of Grades 3 to 8 continues to draw on. Multi-digit addition and subtraction require decomposing and recomposing units, so that computing 503 minus 178 means partitioning one unit of a hundred into ten units of ten. Multiplication and division require working with composite units. Fractions and decimals require nested units and magnitude. Measurement requires iterating units, and algebra requires seeing numbers and expressions as composed quantities. When place value is shallow, students can often succeed with simple cases but fail as numbers grow, when regrouping is required, or when decimals, fractions, and powers of ten are introduced (National Research Council, 2001).

Students who reason about the size of a number carry an advantage that compounds. Where a first grader places numbers on a line predicts growth in computational arithmetic through fifth grade, with intelligence, working memory, and processing speed held constant, and the advantage widens across the elementary grades (Geary, 2011). Number-line estimation tracks broader mathematical competence moderately and consistently from age 4 to 14, and more strongly for fractions than for whole numbers (Schneider et al., 2018). Place-value processing carries forward on its own account, because what first graders do with the place-value components of basic number tasks predicts particular aspects of their addition two years later (Moeller et al., 2011). The reasoning that rests on units and magnitude reaches further still, since elementary knowledge of fractions and of division predicted algebra and overall mathematics achievement in high school five to six years later (Siegler et al., 2012).

A student who sees 4,000 as 40 hundreds can divide it by ten at a glance, and one who knows only that the 4 is in the thousands place cannot. That is what makes place value a high-value target for formative assessment: the same reasoning a teacher can detect in Grade 3 continues to pay off through algebra (Booth & Siegler, 2008).

Key Idea • Because unit structure and magnitude are what predict later achievement, assessing them early, while there is still time to act, is worth the effort.

Unitizing: A Foundation for Place-Value Understanding

Unitizing is a foundation for place-value understanding, the ability to treat a group as a single unit while still knowing the units that compose it. A student who sees ten ones only as ten separate objects is reasoning additively. A student who can also see those ten units of one as one unit of ten has begun to unitize. Unitizing lets students work with composite units: a hundred is ten tens or one hundred ones, and a thousand is ten hundreds or one hundred tens. This nesting of units is the structure of the base-ten system (Fuson, 1990; Lamon, 2007).

In Grades 3 to 6, unitizing is the understanding that lets students fluidly rename numbers for computation, seeing 340 as 34 tens when dividing by 10, or 4,000 as 40 hundreds when reasoning about scale. Without it, students may name places correctly while still treating the 4 in 47 as a 4 rather than as 4 tens, which limits their ability to reason

about regrouping, multiplication by powers of ten, and decimals (Ross, 1989). The arc runs from composing and decomposing units of ten and a hundred in the primary grades, through renaming numbers flexibly for multi-digit computation in Grades 3 and 4, to treating a unit of a tenth or a hundredth as one more unit in the same nested system in Grades 5 and 6.

Items that ask students to count in composite units expose whether unitizing is in place. How many tens are in 340? How many hundreds are in 2,500? If a student has 47 tens, what number is that? A student who must build up by ones, or who answers four tens for 340 by reading only the tens digit, is naming positions rather than reasoning about units. The diagnostic value lies in the explanation: ask how the student knows, and listen for whether the unit (tens) is coordinated with the count, 34.

Key Idea • Unitizing, treating a group as one unit while knowing its composition, is a foundational place-value understanding. Assess it by asking how many tens or hundreds are in a number and listening to the reasoning, not just the answer.

Magnitude and Number Sense: Locating Numbers, Not Just Writing Them

Place value also depends on magnitude, understanding how large a number is and where it falls relative to others, beyond its written form. Students with magnitude understanding know that 80 is much closer to 100 than to 10, that 503 is just over 500, and that 2,000 is ten times 200. A place-value chart shows how a number is composed, and a number line shows where the number lives. Students need both, and the number line most directly externalizes magnitude (Booth & Siegler, 2008). Estimates on a 0 to 1,000 number line shift from a compressed pattern toward a linear one across the elementary grades, and the shift arrives later for larger ranges (Siegler & Opfer, 2003).

Magnitude understanding is what keeps students from treating digits independently. A student who attends to the quantity recognizes that 402 is greater than 389, even though 389 has larger digits in the tens and ones places. The precision of students' number-line placements consistently correlates with mathematical competence across a wide range of ages, tasks, and outcome measures, making magnitude worth assessing directly in Grades 3 to 6 (Geary, 2011; Schneider et al., 2018). The arc runs from locating whole numbers within a hundred, through placing numbers within a thousand and reasoning about which benchmark they sit nearest, to locating decimals and fractions on the same line in Grades 5 and 6.

Number-line and comparison items directly reveal magnitude reasoning: place 472 on a number line from 0 to 1,000. Which is greater, 509 or 590, and how do you know? About how much is 6,000 minus 2,950? The most informative version asks for justification. A student who explains the comparison by noting that, after the equal hundreds, 590 has 9 tens while 509 has 0 tens is reasoning about quantity, whereas one who says that 590 has a bigger 9 may be comparing digits. Estimation prompts, such as asking whether a total is closer to 3,000 or 4,000, reveal whether students reason about quantity before computing.

Key Idea • Magnitude understanding, knowing where a number falls rather than only how to write it, is a consistent correlate of later mathematics achievement. Number-line placement, comparison with justification, and estimation items assess it efficiently.

Position and Value: What Unit a Digit Counts

Naming a position is not the same as knowing what it counts. A digit, its position, the unit that position names, and the value the digit contributes are related but not interchangeable. In 472, 7 is the digit; tens is its position and the unit it counts; and 70 is its value, while the number itself is the single quantity the three place values compose. A student who can label the tens place does not always see that the 7 there counts seven tens, or that its contribution to the number is 70 rather than 7 (Ross, 1989).

Position and value become diagnostic once the questions move past identification to how a change propagates through the quantity. In 472, what changes if the 7 becomes an 8, and what stays the same? What changes if one hundred is added, and which digits stay the same? A student who reasons about the units each digit counts can say that changing the 7 to an 8 adds one ten, or 10, and leaves the hundreds and ones unchanged, whereas a student who reads the digits independently cannot predict the effect on the number. The arc runs from identifying the unit a digit counts in the primary grades, through coordinating digit, unit, and value in Grades 3 and 4, to tracking how a single-digit change moves the whole quantity in Grades 5 and 6.

Key Idea • A digit counts units determined by its position, and its value is the quantity those units contribute. Assess it by asking what unit a digit counts, what it is worth, and how the number changes when one digit changes.

x10 Structure and Equivalence: Why Each Place Is Ten Times the One to Its Right

In Grades 4 and 5, students grasp that the places form a multiplicative structure: each place is worth ten times the place to its right and one-tenth of the place to its left. This property distinguishes a true base-ten understanding from a memorized list of place names (Ross, 1989). It also makes multiplication and division by powers of ten meaningful rather than a matter of adding zeros, and it bridges to decimals, where the same times-ten and one-tenth relationship continues to the right of the ones place.

Because this relationship is often left implicit, it is frequently the missing piece when students struggle with decimals or with scaling. A student who understands that moving a digit one place to the left multiplies its value by ten has a structural account of why 0.1 is ten hundredths and why 30 is ten times 3. Making this relationship an explicit object of instruction and assessment is among the most useful moves a teacher can make in these grades (National Research Council, 2001). The arc runs from multiplying and dividing whole numbers by ten in Grade 4, through extending the same relationship to the right of the ones place in Grade 5, to reasoning about relative size multiplicatively in Grade 6 (Siegler & Braithwaite, 2017).

Items that probe the multiplicative relationship are especially revealing: *What happens to a digit's value when it moves one place to the left? How many times greater is the 7 in 7,000 than the 7 in 70? How many tenths are in 5? How many hundredths are in 0.5?* A student who answers “ten times” and can connect it to both whole numbers and decimals holds the structural understanding; one who treats each place as unrelated will often fall back on “adding a zero” and falter when decimals make that rule fail.

Key Idea • Each place is worth ten times the one to its right, and that multiplicative structure is what turns a list of place names into base-ten understanding. Assess it by asking how many times greater a digit becomes and what happens when a digit moves places.

Equivalence and Flexible Renaming: Why One Quantity Has Many Names

Equivalence is the other half of the $\times 10$ Structure and Equivalence construct. A supporting understanding ties units and magnitude together: the recognition that the same quantity can be represented in different but equivalent ways. The number 47 is 4 tens and 7 ones, or 3 tens and 17 ones, 40 plus 7, or 50 minus 3. These equivalences are not arithmetic trivia. They are what make flexible computation possible. A student who can rename 304 as 2 hundreds, 10 tens, and 4 ones is prepared to subtract across zeros with understanding rather than by rule (Hiebert & Carpenter, 1992).

This is also where students should treat the equals sign as a statement of balance rather than a signal to compute. Place value gives students a natural context for seeing equality as equivalence, because different decompositions of the same number are genuinely equal. Understanding of the equals sign develops through an ordered progression, from reading it as an instruction to compute toward reading it as a relation between two quantities, and most students reach a basic relational view by Grade 5 (Rittle-Johnson et al., 2011). That progression continues unevenly into middle school, and a student who can state a relational definition does not always reason relationally when solving equations (Alibali et al., 2007). Students who hold the relational view are better positioned to engage in the structural reasoning that algebra requires (Skemp, 1976).

Renaming and equivalence items reveal flexibility: *Show 304 in two different ways. Explain why 6 tens and 14 ones equal 74. Fill in the blank: $3,000 + \underline{\hspace{1cm}} + 60 + 2 = 4,762$.* A student who can produce multiple correct decompositions and justify why they are equal demonstrates the flexible unit reasoning that procedural fluency alone does not guarantee.

Key Idea • The same quantity can be named in many equivalent ways, and flexible renaming is what makes regrouping and mental computation make sense. Assess it by asking students to represent a number in more than one way and justify the equivalence.

Reading the Errors: What Common Misconceptions Reveal

Place-value errors are rarely careless. They usually point to a specific gap in understanding units or magnitude, which makes them so useful for formative assessment. The most common pattern is treating multi-digit numbers as digits placed side by side, reading 36 as a 3 and a 6 rather than as 3 units of ten and 6 units of one. Such a student can often name the tens place without understanding that the 3 represents 30 (Ross, 1989). Written-number errors carry the same information earlier: children who write six hundred forty-two as 600402 are applying partial knowledge of the base-ten structure rather than none (Byrge et al., 2014).

A second pattern is treating regrouping as a rule rather than as a unit relationship. Students who borrow or carry by procedure often cannot explain that one ten is being partitioned into ten ones. The language matters: describing the action as composing, or iterating, and decomposing, or partitioning, units rather than as borrowing and returning something keeps the focus on the mathematics (Skemp, 1976). A third pattern concerns zero as a placeholder, where a student who does not understand that the 0 in 406 marks the absence of tens may write 406 as 4006 or as 46. These errors are classifiable; some persist across a school year while others fade, and the pattern a student produces is itself diagnostic (Chan et al., 2017).

A fourth pattern, increasingly important once decimals are introduced in Grades 5 and 6, is longer-means-larger reasoning, in which students judge 0.38 to be greater than 0.4 because it has more digits. These errors are rule-governed rather than random, and competing patterns appear together: some students treat a longer decimal as larger, and others treat it as smaller, each following a different partial account of the notation (Resnick et al., 1989). The bias behind them declines across the grades but is not outgrown by the end of schooling, and its strength depends on the task (Van Hoof et al., 2015). Instruction changes the form of these misconceptions more readily than it removes them, and confidently held errors are the most durable (Durkin & Rittle-Johnson, 2015). In each case, the error is diagnostic. It tells the teacher which understanding to rebuild, not merely that an answer was wrong.

Key Idea • Place-value errors are diagnostic rather than careless. Digits side by side, regrouping as rule, zero as placeholder, and longer means larger each point to a specific gap in unit or magnitude understanding that targeted instruction can address.

The Math Learning Profile: Turning a Diagnosis into a Next Move

A Math Learning Profile (MLP) reports where a student stands on each developmental understanding rather than returning a single score; for place value, that means four readings: unitizing, composing and decomposing; magnitude and number line; position and value; and the $\times 10$ structure and equivalence of the base-ten system. Each reading rests on items whose reasoning is visible. How many hundreds are in 2,500, and how do you know? Place 472 on a number line from 0 to 1,000. In 4,372, what place value does the 3 represent, and what is its value? How many times greater is the 7 in 7,000 than the 7 in 70? Show 304 a second way, and explain why the two are equal.

The profile is useful because each reading points to a different next move. A student who counts up by ones to find how many tens are in 340 needs work on composing and decomposing units of ten and a hundred before any further work on regrouping. A student who spaces points evenly on a number line needs benchmarks established and located before comparison items will mean anything, and experience with linear representations of number supports exactly that kind of magnitude knowledge (Siegler & Ramani, 2009). A student who can name the tens place in 472 but cannot say the 7 there counts seven tens, worth 70, needs position and value distinguished before the multiplicative relationship will mean much. A student who multiplies by ten by adding a zero needs the times-ten relationship made explicit and extended past the ones place. A student who can produce only the standard decomposition of 304 needs the equals sign read as a relation before renaming; anything else will feel like a trick.

The profile is a running record, not a single event. Because these understandings develop over years and reorganize as new number types arrive, the same four readings are worth taking again when decimals enter in Grade 5 and when fractions and proportional reasoning follow. A student can hold unit structure securely for whole numbers and lose it when the unit becomes a tenth, and only a reading taken at that moment will show it.

Key Idea • A Math Learning Profile for place value reports four readings: unitizing, composing and decomposing; magnitude and number line; position and value; and the $\times 10$ structure and equivalence, and each reading names a different next instructional move.

Conclusion

Place value becomes powerful when students understand that every number is built from units, and that those units can be composed, decomposed, compared, iterated, partitioned, and named in more than one equal way. For students in Grades 3 to 6, this is not a review of a primary-grade topic but the foundation for multi-digit operations, decimals, fractions, and early algebra. When students struggle, the issue is usually a developmental gap in unitizing or in magnitude rather than carelessness. Assessment that targets unitizing, magnitude and the number line, position and value, and the $\times 10$ structure and equivalence, and that listens for reasoning rather than recording answers, tells a teacher where a student stands and what to teach next.

References

- Alibali, M. W., Knuth, E. J., Hattikudur, S., McNeil, N. M., & Stephens, A. C. (2007). A longitudinal examination of middle school students' understanding of the equal sign and equivalent equations. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 9(3), 221–247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986060701360902>
- Booth, J. L., & Siegler, R. S. (2008). Numerical magnitude representations influence arithmetic learning. *Child Development*, 79(4), 1016–1031. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01173.x>
- Byrge, L., Smith, L. B., & Mix, K. S. (2014). Beginnings of place value: How preschoolers write three-digit numbers. *Child Development*, 85(2), 437–443. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12162>

- Chan, W. W. L., Au, T. K., Lau, N. T. T., & Tang, J. (2017). Counting errors as a window onto children's place-value concept. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 51, 123–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2017.07.001>
- Durkin, K., & Rittle-Johnson, B. (2015). Diagnosing misconceptions: Revealing changing decimal fraction knowledge. *Learning and Instruction*, 37, 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2014.08.003>
- Fuson, K. C. (1990). Conceptual structures for multiunit numbers: Implications for learning and teaching multidigit addition, subtraction, and place value. *Cognition and Instruction*, 7(4), 343–403. https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xc0704_4
- Geary, D. C. (2011). Cognitive predictors of achievement growth in mathematics: A 5-year longitudinal study. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(6), 1539–1552. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025510>
- Hiebert, J., & Carpenter, T. P. (1992). Learning and teaching with understanding. In D. A. Grouws (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 65–97). Macmillan.
- Lamon, S. J. (2007). Rational numbers and proportional reasoning: Toward a theoretical framework for research. In F. K. Lester Jr. (Ed.), *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 629–667). Information Age Publishing.
- Mix, K. S., Bower, C. A., Hancock, G. R., Yuan, L., & Smith, L. B. (2022). The development of place value concepts: Approximation before principles. *Child Development*, 93(3), 778–793. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13724>
- Moeller, K., Pixner, S., Zuber, J., Kaufmann, L., & Nuerk, H.-C. (2011). Early place-value understanding as a precursor for later arithmetic performance: A longitudinal study on numerical development. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 32(5), 1837–1851. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.03.012>
- National Research Council. (2001). *Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics* (J. Kilpatrick, J. Swafford, & B. Findell, Eds.). National Academy Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/9822>
- Resnick, L. B., Nesher, P., Leonard, F., Magone, M., Omanson, S., & Peled, I. (1989). Conceptual bases of arithmetic errors: The case of decimal fractions. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 20(1), 8–27. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.20.1.0008>
- Rittle-Johnson, B., Matthews, P. G., Taylor, R. S., & McEldoon, K. L. (2011). Assessing knowledge of mathematical equivalence: A construct-modeling approach. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 103(1), 85–104. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021334>
- Ross, S. H. (1989). Parts, wholes, and place value: A developmental view. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 36(6), 47–51. <https://doi.org/10.5951/at.36.6.0047>
- Schneider, M., Merz, S., Stricker, J., De Smedt, B., Torbeyns, J., Verschaffel, L., & Luwel, K. (2018). Associations of number line estimation with mathematical competence: A meta-analysis. *Child Development*, 89(5), 1467–1484. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13068>

- Siegler, R. S., & Braithwaite, D. W. (2017). Numerical development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 68, 187–213. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010416-044101>
- Siegler, R. S., Duncan, G. J., Davis-Kean, P. E., Duckworth, K., Claessens, A., Engel, M., Susperreguy, M. I., & Chen, M. (2012). Early predictors of high school mathematics achievement. *Psychological Science*, 23(7), 691–697. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612440101>
- Siegler, R. S., & Opfer, J. E. (2003). The development of numerical estimation: Evidence for multiple representations of numerical quantity. *Psychological Science*, 14(3), 237–250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.02438>
- Siegler, R. S., & Ramani, G. B. (2009). Playing linear number board games, but not circular ones, improves low-income preschoolers' numerical understanding. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101(3), 545–560. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014239>
- Skemp, R. R. (1976). Relational understanding and instrumental understanding. *Mathematics Teaching*, 77, 20–26.
- Van Hoof, J., Verschaffel, L., & Van Dooren, W. (2015). Inappropriately applying natural number properties in rational number tasks: Characterizing the development of the natural number bias through primary and secondary education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 90(1), 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-015-9613-3>

Social Media

What does a correct answer about place value actually show?

A student points to the 7 in 472 and names the tens place without hesitation. Ask how many tens are in 470, and the answer often arrives by counting up from zero by ones. The place name becomes a column label, so the student reads a position rather than counting units. When students count in composite units, locate a number on a line they scaled themselves, and rename one quantity two ways, they reason about the units the digits stand for and how large the number is. This Insight examines what to assess in Grades 3 to 6, and why unit structure and magnitude are the place-value understandings that carry forward.

Inside this Insight, you will learn:

- Why naming a place can hide the reasoning behind it
- What students establish when they count in tens, in hundreds, and in tenths
- How magnitude connects place value to the number line, to decimals, and to estimation
- Why an error such as “0.38 is greater than 0.4” names the understanding to rebuild

Read the full Insight at mathsuccess.io/dmtinsights.

When your students work with a number this week, are they naming the places, or counting the units the number is built from?



Math Success

by DMTI



mathsuccess.io



mathsuccess.dmtinstitute.com

CONNECT WITH DMTI



@dmtinstitute



DMT Institute



@dmtinstitute



@dmtinstitute



@dmtinstitute



@math.success



@DMTInstitute.bsky.social