

DMT INSIGHTS

Issue 33 · 2025 · by Jonathan Brendefur, PhD and Associates



ROUNDING AND ESTIMATION: REASONING ABOUT PROXIMITY AND MAGNITUDE

When a Correct Answer Hides a Missing Idea

A student rounds 47 to 50 without hesitation but cannot explain whether 47 is closer to 40 or 50. The student knows the rule, but not the reasoning behind it. Rounding replaces a number with a nearby benchmark. Estimation uses benchmarks and number sense to determine a reasonable approximation. Both support flexible problem solving and help students determine whether an answer makes sense. However, students can become proficient with the rounding procedure without developing the underlying understanding of magnitude and proximity (Rittle-Johnson & Schneider, 2015; Siegler & Booth, 2004; Schneider et al., 2020).

Key Idea • Applying a rounding rule is not the same as reasoning about which benchmark is closer. Students need to understand the proximity for the rule to have meaning.

From Applying a Rule to Reasoning About Proximity

Rounding replaces a number with a nearby benchmark, such as a multiple of 10 or 100, or a fraction of 10 or 100—for example, \$473 rounds to \$500 to the nearest hundred. Estimation is broader. It may involve rounding, but it also requires students to consider the quantities and the context. For example, \$19.97, \$4.82, and \$10.13 can be approximated as \$20, \$5, and \$10, for a total of about \$35.

This distinction matters. Estimation requires a student to choose a useful strategy rather than apply a rule. For 76×4 , for example, a student might reason that 76 is close to 75, so the product is about 300, without first finding the exact product (Dowker, 2019).

Key Idea • Rounding identifies a nearby benchmark. Estimation requires students to decide which numbers or benchmarks are useful for the situation.

CLASSROOM SNAPSHOT

Teacher: "Round 47 to the nearest ten."

Student A: "50. You look at the 7, and 7 is more than 5, so it goes up."

Student B: "50, because 47 is past 45, and 45 is halfway between 40 and 50."

Teacher: "How could we settle it?"

Student B: "Put 40 and 50 on a line. 47 is much closer to 50."

Both students answer correctly. Student A applied a rule to a digit. Student B judged a distance. Only Student B still knows what to do when the number becomes 3.98.

The Developmental Understandings

Five developmental understandings support rounding and estimation. Together, they shift students from attending to a digit and a rule to reasoning about units, benchmarks, distance, and magnitude. For 47, a student with this understanding can identify 40 and 50 as the bounding tens, locate 45 as the midpoint, and explain why 47 is closer to 50.

Complete units and the next unit. Students need to see how many complete units of a given size compose a number and identify the next unit of that size. For 273, there are 27 complete units of 10, or 270, and the next multiple of 10 is 280. The same reasoning extends to units of 100 and, later, to tenths and hundredths.

Benchmarks and the midpoint. To round, students first need to identify the two benchmarks that bound the number. For 47, the benchmarks are 40 and 50, with 45 as the midpoint. Because 47 is above the midpoint, it is closer to 50. When a number is exactly at the midpoint, such as 45, the usual rounding convention is to round to the greater benchmark.

Proximity on the number line. Rounding is fundamentally about distance. Placing 273 between 270 and 280 allows comparison of its distance from each benchmark. The same reasoning applies to decimals: 3.98 lies between 3.9 and 4.0 and can be compared to the midpoint. Connecting the procedure to the number line grounds the rule in magnitude and place-value reasoning (Rittle-Johnson & Schneider, 2015).

Estimation as contextual judgment. The best estimate depends on the purpose. A \$473 airfare might be treated as about \$500 when planning a budget, while \$470 may be more useful in another situation. Students show this understanding when they can explain why an estimate is reasonable for the context.

Adaptive strategy choice. Students also need to decide when and how to estimate. For 76×4 , a student may use 75×4 to estimate 300. When a measurement must be reported to the nearest tenth, the student uses the specified precision. This flexibility is evidence that the student is reasoning about quantities rather than simply applying a single procedure (Dowker, 2019; Schneider et al., 2020).

Key Idea • Five understandings build the construct: complete units and the next unit, benchmarks and the midpoint, proximity on the number line, estimation as contextual judgment, and adaptive strategy choice.

Cognitive Psychology Foundations: Load, Place Value, and Transfer

Multi-digit rounding can place substantial demands on working memory when students rely on a step-by-step sequence. To round 2,987 to the nearest hundred, a student must identify the unit of 100, determine the bounding

hundreds, compare the number to the midpoint, and record the result. Without a secure understanding of place value, students may produce answers such as 2,900 instead of 3,000 (Siegler & Booth, 2004; Hansen, 2018).

Visual models can reduce some of this load by making the mathematical relationships visible. An open number line, for example, can show the bounding benchmarks, the midpoint, and relative distances simultaneously (Bray, 2013). Research also indicates that conceptual and procedural knowledge develop together and that number-line estimation is associated with broader mathematical competence (Rittle-Johnson & Schneider, 2015; Schneider et al., 2020).

Key Idea • Secure place-value understanding and an open number line reduce the need to hold a sequence of rules in memory and keep attention on units, benchmarks, and distance.

Reading the Errors: What Misconceptions Reveal

A student who rounds 3.98 to 3.10 is attending to digits without coordinating their place value (Bray, 2013). A student who always finds an exact answer and then rounds may not yet see estimation as a strategy to use before or during computation. These responses give teachers information about which developmental understanding needs attention.

Error analysis can make these understandings visible. Present an incorrect solution and ask, “Why might someone think this is correct?” or “What is missing in this reasoning?” Students then examine the mathematics behind the error rather than only correcting the answer. This type of analysis can support metacognition and conceptual understanding (Hansen, 2018; Reinhold et al., 2020).

Key Idea • Predictable errors can help identify which understanding is missing and what students need to work on next.

Conclusion: A Bridge to Measurement, Fractions, and Proportional Reasoning

Rounding and estimation should develop students’ reasoning about magnitude, not simply their ability to apply a rule. Instruction should connect place value, complete units, benchmarks, midpoints, and distance on the number line. Students should also be asked to choose and justify estimates in context. These understandings support later work with measurement, fraction magnitude, and proportional reasoning (Bray, 2013; Hansen, 2018; Reinhold et al., 2020).

Key Idea • The goal is not simply to round correctly. It is to reason about units, benchmarks, proximity, and magnitude in ways that extend to measurement, fractions, and proportional reasoning.

References

- Bray, W. S. (2013). How to leverage the potential of mathematical errors. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 19(7), 424–431.
- Dowker, A. (2019). *Individual differences in arithmetic: Implications for psychology, neuroscience and education*. Routledge.
- Hansen, A. (Ed.). (2018). *Children’s errors in mathematics* (4th ed.). Learning Matters.
- Reinhold, F., Hoch, S., Werner, B., & Richter-Gebert, J. (2020). Adaptive number knowledge and estimation skills in children. *Journal of Numerical Cognition*, 6(3), 188–206. <https://doi.org/10.5964/jnc.v6i3.290>
- Rittle-Johnson, B., & Schneider, M. (2015). Developing conceptual and procedural knowledge of mathematics. In R. Cohen Kadosh & A. Dowker (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of numerical cognition* (pp. 1102–1118). Oxford University Press.

Schneider, M., Merz, S., Stricker, J., De Smedt, B., Torbeyns, J., Verschaffel, L., & Luwel, K. (2020). Associations of number line estimation with mathematical competence: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 892.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00892>

Siegler, R. S., & Booth, J. L. (2004). Development of numerical estimation in young children. *Child Development*, 75(2), 428–444.

Siegler, R. S., & Booth, J. L. (2005). Development of numerical estimation: A review. In J. I. D. Campbell (Ed.), *Handbook of mathematical cognition* (pp. 197–212). Psychology Press.

Social Media

Rounding isn't just a rule—it's reasoning.

The “five or more, let it soar” approach works for worksheets, but it doesn't build understanding. When students face decimals, measurement, or real-world decisions, the rule falls short.

What works? Open number lines. They make proximity and magnitude visible—showing benchmarks, midpoints, and why one number is closer than another.

This isn't just for 3rd grade. It's a durable way of thinking that grows with students, from whole numbers to decimals and beyond.

Because understanding rounding isn't about memorizing digits—it's about making sense of numbers.

Read our new DMT Insight to learn more.

For more information, contact@dmtinstitute.com, or follow us:

 facebook.com/dmtinstitute

 instagram.com/dmtinstitute

 [@dmtinstitute.bsky.social](https://twitter.com/dmtinstitute.bsky.social)

 youtube.com/@dmtinstitute

 x.com/dmtinstitute

 linkedin.com/company/dmt-institute

 tiktok.com/@math.success

 mathsuccess.dmtinstitute.com

Visit us:  mathsuccess.io

 dmtinstitute.com

